# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges United States District Court Middle District of Florida MEMBERS: Judge John F. Keenan United States District Court Southern District of New York

Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit DIRECT REPLY TO:

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888

http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

December 15, 2005

### NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

### Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: January 26, 2006

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: George C. Young United States Courthouse

Courtroom No. 1, Sixth Floor 80 North Hughey Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:30 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.** 

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than **January 9, 2006.** Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

Very truly,

Michael J. Beck Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FILED

DEC. 15, 2005

MICHAEL J. BECK CLERK OF THE PANEL

### BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ, ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

### **HEARING SESSION ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that on January 26, 2006, a hearing session will be held in Orlando, Florida, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule shall be designated for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule shall be considered without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to issue a subsequent notice designating any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

FOR THE PANEL:

Wm. Terrell Hodges Chairman

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION January 26, 2006 -- Orlando, Florida

# SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

# MDL-1598 -- In re Ephedra Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of defendants American Drug Stores, Inc.; The Chemins Company, Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.; Rite Aid Corporation; Costco Wholesale Corporation; Sam's West, Inc.; Metabolife International, Inc.; Alpine Health Products, LLC; Target Corporation; and Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Eastern District of Arkansas

Opal Jenkins v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 2:05-1265

### Southern District of California

Linda Llewellyn, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90411

Dee Dee Friddle, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90412 Patricia Naugle v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90413 Jacqueline Lee Brock, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90414

Wendy Davis v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90415 Dennis Chaney v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90416 Dora Morris, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90417 Terrill Armbrister v. Metabolife, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90421 Joann Maloney, et al. v. Chemins Co., Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90422 Carol Smith v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90423 Barbara Bower v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-90424 MDL-1598 (Continued)

### Northern District of Illinois

Edward Foster, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-4759

### Southern District of Illinois

John Paul Hawkins v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 3:05-3281

# District of New Mexico

Emily Lujan v. Chemins Co., Inc., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 1:05-1212

# Southern District of Ohio

Sherri Watters v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-444

### Northern District of Texas

Philip Christopher Overstreet v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-1923

# Southern District of Texas

Jason McMeekin v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-519

# Western District of Texas

Leatrice J. Lewis v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-948

# MDL-1732 -- In re Lipitor Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Nilda Prohias, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and motion of plaintiff Pennsylvania Employees Benefit Trust Fund for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

### Southern District of Florida

Nilda Prohias, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-22658

### **District of Massachusetts**

Teamsters Local No. 35 Health Plans, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-11956

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Pennsylvania Employees Benefit Trust Fund v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 2:05-5433

### MDL-1733 -- In re Teflon Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Natalie Belmonte, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

### Central District of California

Lisa Howe, et al. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-5488

### Southern District of California

Jennifer Hardee v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 3:05-1522

#### District of Colorado

Susan R. Yoshioka, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-1440

MDL-1733 (Continued)

### Southern District of Florida

- Natalie Belmonte, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-21921

  Northern District of Illinois
- Sheldon B. Lyke, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-4168

  Southern District of Iowa
- Janet R. Luett, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-422

  <u>District of Massachusetts</u>
- Judy Levin v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-11618

  Eastern District of Michigan
- Constance A. Webb v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-72923

  <u>Eastern District of Missouri</u>
- Heath Hutchison, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-1234

  Southern District of New York
- Rosemarie Compitello, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-6749

  Northern District of Ohio
- Susan E. Schnur v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 1:05-1818

  Eastern District of Pennsylvania
- Kathleen Margay, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-4049

MDL-1733 (Continued)

### **District of South Carolina**

Melissa Lee Urch, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 7:05-2438

### Southern District of Texas

Jerry Syntych, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., C.A. No. 4:05-2530

# MDL-1735 -- In re Wal-Mart Wage and Hour Employment Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Nancy Hall for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

### District of Alaska

John McFarlin v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-94

# <u>District of Delaware</u>

Dora Jackson v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-269

### District of Hawaii

Tammy L. Poha, et al. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-697

### District of Idaho

Reginald Paul Jackson, et al. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-424

### District of Nevada

Nancy Hall v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-1099

### District of South Dakota

John Luce v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-1019

# MDL-1736 -- In re Celexa and Lexapro Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendants Forest Laboratories, Inc., and Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi:

### District of Idaho

Dan Henrikson, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-121

# Northern District of Mississippi

Ella May Cross, et al. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-170

# Southern District of Mississippi

Janice Kemp, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:04-704

### **District of New Jersey**

Raymond P. Badyna, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-4711

### Southern District of New York

William Manning, et al. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-6438 Terry Johns, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-6439 Ashley Smith v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-6941 Randy Cline, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-7644

### District of North Dakota

Michael S. Rust, et al. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-80

# Western District of Oklahoma

Annabel Dobbs, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:04-1762

### MDL-1736 (Continued)

# **District of South Carolina**

Daniel Steubing, et al. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., C.A. No. 2:05-1573

# Western District of Washington

Lois Ilich, etc. v. Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-667

# MDL-1737 -- In re American Honda Motor Co., Inc., Oil Filter Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendant American Honda Motor Co., Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

### Middle District of Florida

Walter Yasensky v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 8:05-1819

# Northern District of Illinois

Hal Pilger v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-5277

### District of Maryland

Richard Ammon v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-2703

### District of Massachusetts

Pauline McDevitt v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-12101

### Eastern District of Wisconsin

Scott Bonlender, et al. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:05-1098

# MDL-1738 -- In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Northeast Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

### Northern District of California

Linda Philion, et al. v. Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-4524

#### District of Massachusetts

Dennis Audette v. Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-12224 <u>Eastern District of New York</u>

Animal Science Products, Inc., et al. v. Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-453

### MDL-1739 -- In re Grand Theft Auto Video Game Consumer Litigation (No. II)

Motion of plaintiffs Florence Cohen, Robert Samario, Cindy Casey, and Susan Carlson for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Southern District of Illinois

Brenda Stanhouse v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-721

#### Southern District of New York

In re Grand Theft Auto Video Game Consumer Litigation, C.A. No. 1:05-6734 Robert Samario v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-6767 Susan Carlson v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-6907 Cindy Casey v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-10013

# MDL-1740 -- In re Canon U.S.A., Inc., Digital Cameras Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendant Canon U.S.A., Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Central District of California

Wing Law v. Canon U.S.A., Inc., C.A. No. 2:05-7959

### Southern District of New York

In re Canon Cameras Litigation, C.A. No. 1:05-7233

# MDL-1741 -- In re Isolagen, Inc., Securities & Derivative Litigation

Motion of defendant Isolagen, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Ronald A. Gargiulo v. Isolagen, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4983 Gregory Newman v. Isolagen, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-5090

### Southern District of Texas

Elliot Liff, et al. v. Isolagen, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-2887 Michael Cummiskey v. Isolagen, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-3105 Richard Keene, etc. v. Frank M. DeLape, et al., C.A. No. 4:05-3441

# MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Lydia M. Lilly for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, or in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

### District of New Jersey

Lydia M. Lilly v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4313 Jessie M. D'Amato v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-5241 Brian P. Leitheiser, etc. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-5413 Shannon Marie Stoedter v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-5501 Jessica Debra Kingston v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-5502

### Eastern District of New York

Joan Petrella, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Pharmacuetical, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-3953

### Southern District of New York

Ellen Stewart, etc. v. Ortho-McNeil Pharmacuetical, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-7171 Western District of North Carolina

Melanie Coleman, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 3:05-416

### Northern District of Ohio

Demetria Ann Bernard v. Ortho-McNeil Pharmacuetical, Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-7146

### Southern District of Ohio

Melisa Arbino v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 1:05-534

### Western District of Pennsylvania

Janet Weaver Reardon, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-562

MDL-1742 (Continued)

### Western District of Texas

Rebecca Jane Wahlig v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 1:05-860

### Western District of Wisconsin

Michael Brown, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 3:05-668

# MDL-1743 -- In re American Family Mutual Insurance Co. Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Rocky Baldozier, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado:

# District of Colorado

Rocky Baldozier, et al. v. American Family Mutual Insurance Co., C.A. No. 1:04-2174

# Northern District of Illinois

Robert Schultz v. American Family Mutual Insurance Co., C.A. No. 1:04-5512

# SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

# MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Opposition of plaintiff Steven McAboy, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

### Western District of Washington

Steven McAboy, etc. v. IMO Industries, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-1241

### MDL-1334 -- In re Managed Care Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Paul S. Howard, M.D., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

### Northern District of Alabama

Paul S. Howard, M.D. v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Alabama, C.A. No. 2:05-1894

Oppositions of defendants Connecticut General Life Insurance Company; CIGNA Corporation; CIGNA Health Corporation; and Northwest Airlines, Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

### Southern District of Florida

Darlery Franco, et al. v. Connecticut General Life Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-23187 (D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:04-1318)

# MDL-1358 -- In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether ("MTBE") Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Howard Graham, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Southern District of Illinois

Howard Graham, et al. v. Shell Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-703

### MDL-1407 -- In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Victor Gomez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

#### Southern District of Texas

Victor Gomez v. Bayer Corp., et al., C.A. No. 7:05-311

### MDL-1431 -- In re Baycol Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Decoro Nercy, Juana Acosta, Emilia Gomez, and Rodobaldo Naranjo to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

### Southern District of Florida

Decoro Nercy v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-22458

Juana Acosta v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-22460

Emilia Gomez v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-22461

Rodobaldo Naranjo v. Bayer Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-22463

# MDL-1439 -- In re Farmers Insurance Exchange Claims Representatives' Overtime Pay Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Kimberly Mackall, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon:

### Central District of California

Kimberly Mackall, et al. v. Farmers Insurance Exchange, C.A. No. 2:05-6059

### MDL-1446 -- In re Enron Corp. Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Westpac Banking Corporation to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

### Southern District of New York

Westpac Banking Corp. v. Citibank, N.A., C.A. No. 1:05-6939

# MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs The State of Texas, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

### Western District of Texas

The State of Texas, et al. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-897

# MDL-1487 -- In re WorldCom, Inc., Securities & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs John Payne, et al.; Joseph Blount, et al.; Helen M. Hughes, et al.; Bird Abell, et al.; Ray D. Pasco, et al.; Mary Ann Rack, et al.; and Melvin Andrews, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Middle District of Alabama

John Payne, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-843

### Southern District of Florida

Joseph Blount, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 9:05-80826

# Middle District of Georgia

Helen M. Hughes, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-125 Bird Abell, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-104

### Northern District of Georgia

Ray D. Pasco, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-2408 Mary Ann Rack, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-2438 Melvin Andrews, et al. v. Citigroup, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-143

### MDL-1507 -- In re Prempro Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Novo Nordisk Delivery Technologies, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas:

### Central District of California

Ginger Uyechi, et al. v. Novo Nordisk Delivery Technologies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-6963

# MDL-1532 -- In re New Motor Vehicles Canadian Export Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Paul Melangagio, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Maine:

### District of Nebraska

Paul Melangagio, et al. v. General Motors Corp., et al., C.A. No. 8:05-451

# MDL-1535 -- In re Welding Fume Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs James Carn, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

James Carn, et al. v. BOC Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4839

### MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Sinclair Oil Corporation to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

### Northern District of Oklahoma

Sinclair Oil Corp. v. E-Prime, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-435

### **District of Wyoming**

Sinclair Oil Corp. v. OneOK Energy Services Co., LP, C.A. No. 1:05-254

# MDL-1574 -- In re Paxil Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant GlaxoSmithKline to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

### District of District of Columbia

Amanda Paine, etc. v. GlaxoSmithKline, C.A. No. 1:05-574

### MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants Janssen, L.P.; Dr. Julia Fax; Dr. Joseph Spalding; and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

### Eastern District of Missouri

Charles Reynolds v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-173 Phyllis Edwards v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-174 Linda Faye Robinson v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-176 Barbara Province v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-187 Jennifer Morlan v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-189 Amanda Lilly & Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-190 Gilbert Sabala v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-65 William Anthony Ewing v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-66 Valarie A. Chazelle v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1806 Patrick McDonald v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1869 Donna Luther v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1870 Gloria Black v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1921 Cindy Buck v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1922 Clifford Ferrin v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1923 Pamela Journey v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1924 Thomas McGee, etc. v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1925 Michelle McMahon v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1926 Brent Thomas v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1927 Reed Thompson v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1928 Tamila Watkins v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1930

### MDL-1596 (Continued)

### Eastern District of Missouri (Continued)

James Keetch v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1931 Shirley Helms v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1936 Gloria Lothridge v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1937 Joy Hufferd v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1938

### Western District of Missouri

Robert Henry v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4317 Shirley Copeland v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4318 Judy Laurence v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4319 Lindell Schmidt v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4320 Christina Quebedeaux v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4326 Ronald Forbes v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4331 Terri Finley v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4332 Barbara Benton v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4337 Rick Galati v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4338 Cindy Karsch v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4339 Scott Surface v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-4341 Gina M. Easley v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-5150 Gregory Bradley v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-932 Janice A. Johnson v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-960 Loretta Eads v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-987 Michelle Wolfe v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-990 Connie Stewart v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3473 James Caffey v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3474 Raymond Mincks v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3485 Ron Lipe v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3487 Bacil Warson v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3488 Laura Davis v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3490 Twila May Freeman v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-3504

MDL-1596 (Continued)

Motion of defendant Eli Lilly & Company for transfer of the following action to the United States District of Court for the Eastern District of New York:

### **District of South Carolina**

Roland Dowling v. Eli Lilly & Co., C.A. No. 2:05-2241

# MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Gregory Pettaway, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

### Southern District of Alabama

Gregory Pettaway, et al. v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Co., C.A. No. 2:05-489

# MDL-1629 -- In re Neurontin Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Elmenia Johnson, etc.; Maria Aranda; and Jose Magdaleno Fonseca, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

### Eastern District of Louisiana

Elmenia Johnson, etc. v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 2:05-3688

### Southern District of Texas

Maria Aranda v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 7:05-309 Jose Magdaleno Fonseca, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 7:05-312

# MDL-1634 -- In re Peanut Crop Insurance Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Texas Peanut Farmers, et al., for transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina:

### **Court of Federal Claims**

Texas Peanut Farmers, et al. v. U.S.A., C.A. No. 1:03-445

# MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendants Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center; Roshini Pinto Power, M.D.; Charles Carr, M.D.; Brigham & Women's Hospital; Dr. Peter J. Millet; Maya Varshney, M.D.; and Rajendrakumar I. Patel, M.D., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

### Middle District of Alabama

Theatus Rawdon Beaty v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-880

### Southern District of Illinois

Elvin Elswick v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-645 Stanely McNulty v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-661 Gary Grizzell v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-4170

# Eastern District of Kentucky

Ronald E. Smith, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-68 James Parsons, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:05-412 Gene Patterson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:05-413 Tresa Brady, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-423 Maudie F. Jones, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:05-425

### MDL-1657 (Continued)

# Eastern District of Kentucky (Continued)

Gerlene Stacy v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 6:05-525 Bonnie Engle v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 6:05-565 James T. Bevins, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 7:05-317 James Howell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 7:05-330

### Western District of Kentucky

Elvis Meadors v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-136 Harold Reiser v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-156 James W. Thompson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-549 Jerry W. Kinslow, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-550 Carl D. Mahan, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-565 Samuel Bain, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-566 Wenceslaus Klimesh, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-568 Glen Kelly v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-569 Barry M. Kinslow v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-570 Barbara A. Reeves, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-571 Betty Wooldridge, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-572 Bernice Eversole, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-573 Earl G. Crank, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-581 Phillip Metcalf, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-582 Allen Dowell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-585 Lois Zoll v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-586 Helen Dennison v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-587 Lana Yaggie, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-588 Kim Young, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-589 William E. Garrett, et al. v Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-590 William M. Adams, Jr., et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-591 Linda Howard, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-592 Richard Wigginton, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-593 Sharon McDonald v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-595 Dennie Miller, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-600 David G. Thomas v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-601 George R. Hubbard, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-602

### MDL-1657 (Continued)

### Western District of Kentucky (Continued)

Joseph E. Newton, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-603 Elmer Smith, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-605 Violet Bailey, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-606 Joyce Skillman v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-607 Charles Ball, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-608 Lucky Daniels, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-609 Lovell S. Cottrell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-610 Charles Orange, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-615 Earl J. Estep, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-616 Michael T. Mooney, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-617 Juanita King v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-618 Marjorie Staten, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-619 Bernard Griffin, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-620 Anthony Long, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-621 Cleo D. Gilbert, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-622 Vanessa Wisenbaler v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-626 Charles Nantz, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-627 Annie Hendrix v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-628 Carolyn A. Ward v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-629 William E. Kaufman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-630 Donna C. Russel, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-631 Delbert Rakes, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-632 Timmy Glass, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-646

### **District of Massachusetts**

Kathleen Martin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-11716

### Eastern District of Missouri

Michael Elder, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1268 Nina Cook, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1272 George Likins, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1273 Tyrone Dawson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1291

### MDL-1657 (Continued)

### Eastern District of Missouri (Continued)

Evelyn Light, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1463
Fulton Lacy, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1465
Roberta Sterling, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1466
Betty Jean Gant et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1490
Jane Latham, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1491
Jefferson Euell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1497
Barbara Gustin, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1514
Martin Zide, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1520
Ginger Hugo, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1557

### Western District of Missouri

Jimmie Collins v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-5142

### Southern District of New York

Douglas A. Spalter, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-7301

### Western District of New York

Brian North v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-6475

### Eastern District of Tennessee

James E. Queen, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-283

### Western District of Texas

Sulema L. Banda, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-950

# MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability <u>Litigation</u>

Oppositions of plaintiffs Jackie Collins and Gracie Blount and defendants Gene L. Watterson, M.D.; Joseph Singleton, M.D.; Nellie Casandra Comer, M.D.; and Wallace Purdy, M.D., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

### Northern District of Alabama

Juanell Y. McBrayer Wilkes, et al. v Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-1214

# Southern District of Illinois

Jackie Collins v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-451 Gracie Blount v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-673

### MDL-1658 -- In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff State of Oregon, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# **District of Oregon**

State of Oregon, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-1463

# MDL-1663 -- In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiff Bensley Construction, Inc., and defendants Liberty Mutual Group, Inc.; Liberty Mutual Insurance Company; Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company; Employers Insurance Company of Wausau; and St. James Insurance Company, Ltd., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

### District of Massachusetts

Bensley Construction, Inc. v. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-11249

# MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California:

### Middle District of Alabama

Katie Thomas v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-824 Rosa M. Nelson v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-832 Ozzie Jackson v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-841 William Randolph Hall, Sr., etc. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-941

### Western District of Kentucky

Roger G. Ewing v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-598

### Eastern District of Louisiana

Raymond Audler, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-2436

### Western District of Louisiana

Gayle Swilley, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., C.A. No. 1:05-761

### MDL-1699 (Continued)

### Eastern District of Missouri

Vern Bowers v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1302 Edward Vitt v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1303 Georgette Asbury v. Pharmacia Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1602 Brenda Bryan v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1603 Frances D. Bangs v. Pharmacia Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1604 J.C. Bunch v. Pharmacia Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1605 Kathy Dimick v. Pharmacia Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1606 Marla Harper v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1607 Beverly Mitchell v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1608 Sonja Mooberry v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1609 Helen Zdrakovich v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1610 Jewell Allen v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1611 John Beaber v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1612 Leonard Berry v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1613 Rogelio Caldera v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1614 Sybil Ball v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1615 Henry Cooper v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1617 Eugene Clervi v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1619 Alberta Cox v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1620 Linda Douglas v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1621 Robert Gordon v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1622 Betty Jo Green v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1623 Hazel Humphrey v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1624 Rudean Hurt v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1625 Robert Kraemer v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1626 Brenda Mahone v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1627 Leonard Mask v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1628 Dolores McNearney v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1629 Daniel Moser v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1630 Dolly Peterson v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1631 Lilly Pierce v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1632 Timothy Tarpley v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1633 William Washington v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1634 Helen Webb v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1635

### MDL-1699 (Continued)

### Eastern District of Missouri (Continued)

Raymond Winn v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1636 Jack Backowski v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1637 Sandy Jeffers v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1638 Billy Tarlton Lafoon, Jr. v. Pharmacia Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1639 Patty Welch v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1640 Teresa Whitledge v. G.D. Searle, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1641 Donna Alubur v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1642 Sharlene Border v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1643 Charles Bruun v. G.D. Searle, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1644 Tireta Johnson v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1645 Tina McCoy v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1646 Evelina Anderson, et al. v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1647 Deborah Caywood, et al. v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1648 Don Stobbe v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1649 Stephanie Shearer v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1650 John Cogle v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1651 Thomas DeVault v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1652 James Dougan v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1653 Margaret Hamilton v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1654 Wanda Newport v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1655 Phyllis Taylor v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1656 Thomas Nolan v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1657 Barbara Singleton v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1658 Judy McRoberts v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1659 Carol Snowbarger v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1660 William Stutz v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1661 Margaret White v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1662 Edward Wilt v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1663 Ardella Heckman v. Monsanto Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1664

# MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Rick R. Williams to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

# Southern District of Illinois

Rick R. Williams v. Ford Motor Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-647

# PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u> (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. <u>See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases</u>, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

### RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

- (a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.
- (b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.
- (c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
  - (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
  - (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.

- (d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.
- (e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
- (f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.
- (g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

- (h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.
- (i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.